## Almost rejectionless sampling from Nakagami-m distributions ( $m \ge 1$ )

## D. Luengo and L. Martino

The Nakagami-m distribution is widely used for the simulation of fading channels in wireless communications. A novel, simple and extremely efficient acceptance-rejection algorithm is introduced for the generation of independent Nakagami-m random variables. The proposed method uses another Nakagami density with a half-integer value of the fading parameter,  $m_p = n/2 \le m$ , as proposal function, from which samples can be drawn exactly and easily. This novel rejection technique is able to work with arbitrary values of  $m \ge 1$ , average path energy,  $\Omega$ , and provides a higher acceptance rate than all currently available methods.

Introduction: The Nakagami-m distribution is widely used to model the wireless fading channel because of its good agreement with empirical channel measurements for some urban multipath environments [1]. The Nakagami probability density function (PDF) is  $p_o(x) = C_p p(x)$ , with  $C_p = 2m^m/[\Omega\Gamma(m)]$  and

$$p(x) = x^{2m-1} \exp\left(-\frac{m}{\Omega}x^2\right), \qquad x \ge 0 \tag{1}$$

where  $m \ge 0.5$  is the fading parameter, which indicates the fading depth, and  $\Omega > 0$  is the average received power.

Several schemes for drawing samples from a Nakagami-m PDF have been proposed. On the one hand, when m is an integer or half-integer (i.e. m=n/2 with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), independent samples can be generated through the square root of a sum of squares of n zero-mean independent identically distributed (IID) Gaussian random variables (RVs). On the other hand, for  $m \neq n/2$  several techniques have been proposed for drawing correlated samples from (1) [2-4], but all of them present limitations in terms of complexity, applicability or poor performance for some values of m. Alternatively, several simple and efficient acceptance-rejection methods, using different proposals and with increasing accuracy, have been recently introduced [5-7]. Currently, the best results are provided by [7] using a truncated Gaussian PDF as the proposal.

In this Letter we provide an extremely efficient acceptance-rejection method for drawing independent samples from non-truncated (i.e. without any restriction in the domain) Nakagami PDFs with  $m \geq 1$ . As a proposal, we consider another Nakagami PDF with an integer or half-integer fading parameter,  $m_p = n/2 \leq m$ , from which samples can be easily and efficiently drawn [8]. Our approach is valid for arbitrary values of the fading parameters  $m \geq 1$  (for many practical channels  $1 \leq m \leq 15$ , as discussed in [9]) and  $\Omega > 0$ . Furthermore, since our proposal is another Nakagami PDF, the novel rejection scheme provides a very good fit of the target, thus achieving very high acceptance rates that tend to 100% (i.e. exact or rejectionless sampling) when  $m \to +\infty$  and outperforming all the alternative techniques reported in the literature.

Acceptance-rejection algorithm: Rejection sampling (RS) is a classical technique for generating samples from an arbitrary target PDF,  $p_o(x) = C_p p(x)$  with  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $C_p = [\int_{\mathcal{D}} p(x) dx]^{-1}$ , using an alternative simpler proposal PDF,  $\pi_o(x) = C_\pi \pi(x)$  with  $x \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $C_\pi = [\int_{\mathcal{D}} \pi(x) dx]^{-1}$ , such that  $\pi(x) \geq p(x)$ , i.e.  $\pi(x)$  is a hat function w.r.t. p(x). RS works by generating samples from the proposal density,  $x' \sim \pi_o(x)$ , accepting them when  $u' \leq p(x')/\pi(x')$ , with u' uniformly distributed in [0,1], and rejecting them otherwise. The key performance measure for RS is the average acceptance rate,  $a_R = \int_{\mathcal{D}} p(x)/\pi(x)\pi_o(x)dx = C_\pi/C_p \leq 1$ . The value of  $a_R$  depends on how close the proposal is to the target, and determines the efficiency of the approach. Hence, the main difficulty when designing an RS algorithm is finding a good hat function,  $\pi(x) \geq p(x)$ , such that  $\pi(x)$  and p(x) are as close as possible and drawing samples from  $\pi_o(x) = C_\pi \pi(x)$  can be done easily and efficiently.

In this work, we consider as target density the PDF given by (1) with  $m \ge 1$ . As proposal PDF, we suggest using another Nakagami function with different parameters, namely

$$\pi_o(x) \propto \pi(x) = \alpha_p x^{2m_p - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{m_p}{\Omega_p} x^2\right), \qquad x \ge 0$$
 (2)

with  $m_p = n/2$ ,  $n = \lfloor 2m \rfloor$  (with  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denoting the integer part of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ), and the remaining parameters  $(\alpha_p \text{ and } \Omega_p)$  adjusted to obtain the same location and value of the maximum in the proposal as in the target:

$$\Omega_p = \frac{2m_p}{2m_p - 1} x_{\text{max}}^2 = \Omega \frac{m_p (2m - 1)}{m (2m_p - 1)}$$
 (3)


$$\alpha_p = \frac{p(x_{\text{max}})}{x_{\text{max}}^{2m_p - 1} \exp\left(-m_p x_{\text{max}}^2/\Omega_p\right)}$$

$$= \exp(m_p - m) \left(\frac{\Omega(2m - 1)}{2m}\right)^{m - m_p}$$
(4)

where  $x_{\text{max}}$  is the location of the maximum of the Nakagami PDF, obtained solving dp(x)/dx = 0, which results in

$$x_{\text{max}} = \sqrt{\frac{(2m-1)\Omega}{2m}} \tag{5}$$

Note that we always have  $m_p \leq m$ , with  $m_p$  being an integer or half-integer value. Thanks to this choice of  $m_p$  and the parameters derived in (3) and (4), we can ensure that: (a) we can draw samples exactly from  $\pi_o(x) \propto \pi(x)$  [8]; (b)  $\pi(x) \geq p(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ , as proved in the sequel. Fig. 1a shows an example of the target, p(x), our proposal,  $\pi(x)$ , and the proposal used in [7] for an unbounded domain, which fits the true PDF in a much looser way than ours, thus leading to worse acceptance rates.



**Fig. 1** Example (Fig. 1a) of Nakagami PDF (solid line) with m=1.8 and  $\Omega=5$ , our proposal (dashed line), and Gaussian proposal,  $\pi(x)=p(x_{max})\exp(-m/\Omega(x-x_{max})^2)$  used in [7] for an unbounded domain (circles). The two functions (Fig. 1b),  $\ln(\alpha_p)+\beta$   $x^2$  (dashed line) and  $2(m-m_p)\ln(x)$  (solid line) in (9), when m=1.8 and  $\Omega=5$ 

Therefore, our algorithm follows these three simple steps: (a) calculate the parameters of the proposal PDF,  $\pi_o(x) \propto \pi(x)$ ; (b) draw a sample x' from  $\pi_o(x)$  using the direct approach described in [8]: generate  $2m_p$  IID Gaussian RVs,  $z_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 2m_p$ , and set

$$x' = \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_p}{2m_p} \sum_{k=1}^{2m_p} z_k^2}$$
 (6)

(c) accept x' with probability  $p(x')/\pi(x')$  and discard otherwise. Steps (b) and (c) are repeated until the desired number of samples has been obtained.

*Proof of RS inequality:* To apply the RS technique we need to ensure that  $\pi(x) \ge p(x)$ , i.e.

$$\alpha_p x^{2m_p - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{m_p x^2}{\Omega_p}\right) \ge x^{2m - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{m x^2}{\Omega}\right) \quad \forall x \ge 0$$
 (7)

Alternatively, (7) can be easily rewritten as

$$\alpha_p \exp(\beta x^2) \ge x^{2(m-m_p)}, \quad \forall x \ge 0$$
 (8)

where  $\beta \triangleq m/\Omega - m_p/\Omega_p$  and  $x^{2(m-m_p)}$  presents a sub-linear growth, since  $0 \le 2(m-m_p) < 1$ . Finally, taking the logarithm on both sides of (8),

$$\ln \alpha_p + \beta x^2 \ge 2(m - m_p) \ln x, \qquad \forall x \ge 0$$
 (9)

Now, since  $m \ge m_p$  and  $\Omega_p$  is given by (3), we note that

$$\beta = \frac{m}{\Omega} - \frac{m_p}{\Omega_p} = \frac{m}{\Omega} \left( 1 - \frac{2m_p - 1}{2m - 1} \right) \ge 0 \tag{10}$$

Hence, since we have  $\alpha_p > 0$  from (4), the parabola on the left-hand side of (9) is an increasing function with an increasing first derivative (i.e. a convex function). Moreover, since  $m \ge m_p$ , the logarithmic function on the right-hand side of (9) is also an increasing function, but with a

decreasing first derivative (i.e. a concave function). Consequently, since both functions are increasing for  $x \ge 0$ , but  $\ln \alpha_p + \beta x^2$  is convex and  $2(m-m_p) \ln x$  is concave, they can have at most two intersection points. However, as shown in Fig. 1b, the two functions are tangent at  $x = x_{\text{max}}$ , which is the only contact point between both curves. To prove this, we need to show that both functions are equal at  $x = x_{\text{max}}$ , i.e.

$$\ln \alpha_p + \beta x_{\text{max}}^2 = 2(m - m_p) \ln x_{\text{max}}$$

$$= (m - m_p) \ln \left(\frac{\Omega(2m - 1)}{2m}\right)$$
(11)

and also that their first derivatives are equal, i.e.

$$\frac{d(\ln \alpha_p + \beta x^2)}{dx}\bigg|_{x=x_{\text{max}}} = \frac{d(2(m - m_p) \ln x)}{dx}\bigg|_{x=x_{\text{max}}}$$

$$= \frac{2(m - m_p)}{x_{\text{max}}} = \sqrt{\frac{8m(m - m_p)^2}{(2m - 1)\Omega}}$$
(12)

Therefore, since  $x^2$  grows faster than  $\ln x$ , we can guarantee that  $\ln \alpha_p + \beta x^2 \ge 2(m - m_p) \ln x \ \forall x \ge 0$ , with equality only at  $x = x_{\max}$ , and the RS inequality in (7) is satisfied.

*Results:* To analyse the performance of the algorithm, we have compared the acceptance rate (AR),  $a_R$ , of our approach and the Gaussian proposal used in [7] to draw samples from a Nakagami PDF without truncation. The AR of our technique can be obtained analytically:

$$a_{R1} = (2e)^{m-m_p} \frac{\Gamma(m)(2m_p - 1)^{m_p}}{\Gamma(m_p)(2m - 1)^m}$$
(13)

with  $\Gamma(m)$  denoting the gamma function, whereas the AR for the proposal used in [7] can be approximated for  $m \ge 4$  as

$$a_{R2} \simeq \frac{e^{m-1/2}\Gamma(m)(2m-1)^{1/2-m}}{\sqrt{\pi}2^{m+1/2}}$$
 (14)

Note that in both cases the AR is independent of the average received power,  $\Omega$ . Fig. 2 shows this AR, obtained empirically after drawing  $N=6\times 10^5$  independent samples, for both approaches and several values of the fading depth, m. It can be seen that our technique is extremely efficient, outperforming the proposal used in [7] and providing the best results ever reported in the literature for  $m \ge 1$ . Furthermore, our technique provides exact sampling (i.e.  $a_{R1}=1$ ) when m is an integer or half-integer, since our proposal is equal to the target in these cases.




Fig. 2 Acceptance rate (AR) using our proposal (continuous line) and the one from [7] for an unbounded domain (dashed line) for  $1 \le m \le 50$ 

Conclusion: We have proposed a rejection sampling (RS) scheme for generating Nakagami random variables, with arbitrary values of  $m \ge 1$  and  $\Omega$ , where the proposal PDF is itself another Nakagami-m density. The proposed algorithm is simple and extremely efficient, providing the best acceptance rates ever reported in the literature for  $m \ge 1$ .

Acknowledgments: This work has been partly financed by the Spanish government, through the DEIPRO project (TEC2009-14504-C02-01) and the CONSOLIDER-INGENIO 2010 programme (CSD2008-00010).

© The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2012 4 October 2012

doi: 10.1049/el.2012.3513

One or more of the Figures in this Letter are available in colour online.

D. Luengo (Dep. Ing. de Circuitos y Sistemas, Univ. Politécnica de Madrid, Ctra. de Valencia km. 7, 28031 Madrid, Spain)

E-mail: luengod@ieee.org

L. Martino (Dep. Teoría de Señal y Comunic., Univ. Carlos III de Madrid, Av. Universidad 30, 28911 Leganés, Spain)

## References

- Sarkar, T.K., Ji, Z., Kim, K., Medouri, A., and Salazar-Palma, M.: 'A survey of various propagation models for mobile communication', *IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag.*, 2003, **45**, (3), pp. 51–82
- Yip, K.-W., and Ng, T.-S.: 'A simulation model for Nakagami-m fading channels, m < 1', IEEE Trans. Commun., 2000, 48, (2), pp. 214–221</p>
- 3 Beaulieu, N.C., and Cheng, C.: 'Efficient Nakagami-m fading channel simulation', *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, 2005, 54, (2), pp. 413–424
- 4 Ma, Y., and Zhang, D.: 'A method for simulating complex Nakagami-m fading time series with nonuniform phase and prescribed autocorrelation characteristics', *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, 2010, 59, (1), pp. 29–35
- 5 Cao, L., and Beaulieu, N.C.: 'Simple efficient methods for generating independent and bivariate Nakagami-m fading envelope samples', *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, 2007, 56, (4), pp. 1573–1579
- 6 Matthaiou, M., and Laurenson, D.I.: 'Rejection method for generating Nakagami-*m* independent deviates', *Electron. Lett.*, 2007, 43, (25), pp. 1474–1475
- 7 Zhu, Q.M., Dang, X.Y., Xu, D.Z., and Chen, X.M.: 'Highly efficient rejection method for generating Nakagami-*m* sequences', *Electron. Lett.*, 2011, 47, (19), pp. 1100–1101
- 8 Proakis, J.G.: 'Digital communications' (McGraw-Hill, Singapore, 2000, 4th edn)
- 9 Braun, W.R., and Dersch, U.: 'A physical mobile radio channel model', IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol., 1991, 40, (2), pp. 472–482